Patient Harm Review <sup>1 2</sup>										
	ssograph here	Provider: Pathway (specialty):								
	soograph noro	Designation of clinician completing review:								
		Incident number:								
			Date of review:							
1. Total weeks		= -				0.1				
2. What were th	<u>.</u>	Primary rea	son	Secondary reason	Other reason					
Delays by other provider(s) involved										
Delayed diagnostics										
Lack of equipment/access to equipment										
Theatre capacity										
Cancelled clinics (Trust non-COVID-19)										
Patient choice (cancelled appointments, holidays etc.)										
Workforce – staffing levels/sickness/annual leave										
Other (please state):  COVID-19 – direct impact:										
	anvious									
C-19 Patient choice – anxious										
C-19 Lack of diagnostics										
C-19 Cancelled clinics C-19 other: please state										
3. Patient / G.P.										
		ed of plans in place and advised of			N 5	N				
red flags?	it been inionii	ed of plans in place and advised of	Yes □		No □	No, not required □				
ii. Has the patient's GP been informed of plans and advised of red			Yes □		No □	No, not required □				
flags?	cal harm ratii	ng (Please report all levels of harm	as an <b>incident)</b>							
Please tick	Definition	ao an <b>moide</b> in,	Recommended action(s)							
Please tick Overall Definition one box Clinical		Definition			Recommended action(s)					
below	Harm									
	rating None	Neither current wait nor proposed of	deferral of	Consid	onsider discharging to primary care with appropriate					
	INOTIC	investigation or treatment will caus		safety netting. If not appropriate, continue with existing						
	B 4"1 1	or alter management	21.1	Priority category and review pathway annually						
	Mild		No actual harm caused by current wait but proposed deferral may cause limited harm (no			Consider discharging to primary care with appropriate safety netting. If not appropriate, continue with current				
		organ damage or change in prognosis but may			Priority category and schedule next event (accounting for					
		impact on psychological well-being or functional		time already waited)						
	status)  Moderate Current wait has caused mild actual harm or			Move up a Priority category (from current category) and						
		Proposed deferral may cause moderate harm in terms of organ damage, altered prognosis, change in treatment options, reduced functional status,			schedule next event (accounting for time already waited)					
					Alert patient and GP					
severe pain and/or significant p					Alert patient and Gr					
	distress									
	Severe	Current wait has caused moderate actual harm or Proposed deferral may cause severe harm in			Move up a Priority category (from current category) and consider if harm warrants escalation to P1b					
terms of organ damage, altered pro in treatment options, reduced functi severe pain, overwhelming psychol and/or treatment intent changed to					ci ii iiaiiii waiiaiii3 coo	warranto occaration to 1 15				
				Alert p	Alert patient and GP					
				Ensure active tracking at least weekly		weekly				
palliative/terminal care only										
5. Comments (e.g. low physical harm but high psychological harm evident)										
6. Key learning (if applicable, please include any actions for improvement – with timescales, if possible)										

- further disease progression
- a reduction in treatment options available to them
- the need for more radical treatment/surgery
- prolonged (28 days or more) psychological harm
- loss of functionality greater than anticipated, based on their condition
- loss of independence/significant lifestyle changes (e.g. employment, education, caring responsibilities, social)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Adapted from NHS West Hampshire CCG & <u>Towards a standardised method of patient prioritisation that accounts for clinical harm | RCP Journals To be read in conjunction with https://www.england.nhs.uk/coronavirus/wp-content/uploads/sites/52/2020/10/C0760-Clinical-validation-of-surgical-waiting-lists-1-2.pdf</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Harm as indicated by one or more of the following

## Matrix of Clinical harm against clinical priority & recommended action (ICHT, 2021)

Level of clinical harm	Clinical priority				
	Priority 1a: <24 hrs Priority 1b: <72 hrs	Priority 2: <1 month (urgent and cancer)	Priority 3: <3 months (routine expedited)	Priority 4: >3 months (routine)	Adapt or bespoke investigation/ treatment site/follow up
None	n/a	Stay P2 PTL review by 3 months	Stay P3 PTL review by 6 months	Stay P4 PTL review by 12 months	Adapt or bespoke investigation/ treatment site/follow up
Mild	n/a	Stay P2 PTL review by 3 months	Stay P3 PTL review by 6 months	Stay P4 PTL review by 12 months	Adapt or bespoke investigation/ treatment site/follow up
Moderate	Stay P1 PTL <sup>3</sup> review daily or weekly	Stay P2 PTL review by 1 month	NEW P2 PTL review by 1 month	NEW P3 PTL review by 3 months	Adapt or bespoke investigation/ treatment site/follow up
Severe	NEW P1a PTL Review daily	NEW P1 b PTL review daily or weekly	NEW P2 or P1b PTL review by 1 month	NEW P2 or 1b PTL review by 1 month	Adapt or bespoke investigation/ treatment site/follow up

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> PTL = Patient Transfer List